

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,087	07/03/2003	Kazaya Katoh	24-007	5849
23400	7590 12/20/2004	EXAMINER		
POSZ & BETHARDS, PLC 11250 ROGER BACON DRIVE			AHMAD, NASSER	
SUITE 10	R BREON BRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, V	A 20190		1772	

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/612,087	KATOH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nasser Ahmad	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 J 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of t	s action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	•	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-14 is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the specific production is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have beer nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/612,087 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9, drawn to a laminate sheet, classified in class 428, subclass 40.1.
 - II. Claims 10-14, drawn to a producing method for a laminate sheet, classified in class 156, subclass 247.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different process such as providing preformed adhesive strips in a target form to obviate the cutting step or providing preformed protecting sheet strips to obviate the cutting step.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with David Posz on December 8, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-9. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this

Application/Control Number: 10/612,087

Art Unit: 1772

Office action. Claims 10-14 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 6. Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blanford (3349765).

Blanford releates to a laminate sheet comprising an adhesive sheet (10) having a predetermined form and a protective member (13) in different positions on a long release sheet (12), wherein the protective member is thicker than said adhesive sheet and is provided so as to not overlap said adhesive sheet (figure-2), when the release sheet (12) is removed. The sheet can be in a roll form as shown in figure-3. The protective member is provided on both side portions (figure-2). If the gap (16) between the protective member and the adhesive is considered a part of the adhesive sheet, then the protective member covers the adhesive sheet by partial overlap at edge (15) and can be seen to be less than 50% of the surface area of the adhesive sheet. The adhesive is provided in a continuous form in the center portion of the sheet. Since the base material can be thermoplastic, it is resin material.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,087

Art Unit: 1772

As for claim 8, it has not been given patentable weight because it is directed to an intended future use of the laminate sheet for producing optical disc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blanford..

Blanford, as discussed above, fails to teach that the thickness of the protective member is greater than the adhesive sheet by 5 to 100 microns. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Blanford by providing the protective member (13) to have a thickness of 5 to 100microns greater than the adhesive sheet (10) thereby providing for reinforcement and strength to the edge of the sheet.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,087

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. December 13, 2004.